

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	7
10/676,518	09/30/2003	Xing Xie	MS1-1669US 8303		
22801 7	590 05/23/2006		EXAMINER		
	EE & HAYES PLLC 21 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500		MIZRAHI, DIANE D		
SPOKANE, W		2 300	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1
·			2165		

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Assistant Commencer	10/676,518	XIE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DIANE D. MIZRAHI	2165					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· _•						
,	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 September 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9-30-03;2-9-04</u> .	6) Other:						

III. DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-34 are presented for examination and are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to a non-statutory subject matter, specifically, the claims are not directed towards the final result that is "useful, tangible and concrete.

(See State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

According to the New Guidelines of October 26, 2005, which states that "A claim limited to a machine or manufacture, which has a practical application, is statutory. In most cases a claim to a specific machine or manufacture will have a practical application. See Alappat, 33 F.3d at 1544, 31 USPQ2d at 1557)... a specific machine to produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result and State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

(Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility <a href="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.0.kbg76pbab.p9qiiibab.7440&p="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.0.kbg76pbab.p9qiiibab.7440&p="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.0.kbg76pbab.p9qiiibab.7440&p="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.0.kbg76pbab.p9qiiibab.7440&p="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.0.kbg76pbab.p9qiiibab.7440&p="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.0.kbg76pbab.p9qiiibab.7440&p="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.0.kbg76pbab.p9qiiibab.7440&p="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.0.kbg76pbab.p9qiiibab.7440&p="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.0.kbg76pbab.p9qiiibab.7440&p="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.pp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.pp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.pp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.pp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.pp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.pp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.pp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.pp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.pp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.pp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.pp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.pp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.pp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.pp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.pp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp.qp="http://rs6.net

Art Unit: 2165

http%3A%2F%2Fwww.uspto.gov%2Fweb%2Foffices%2Fpac%2Fdapp%2Fopla%2 Fpreognotice%2Fguidelines101_20051026.pdf>)

Examiner requests Applicant to include in Applicants claimed limitations (in all the claims) the following:

What is the practical application?

What is the result?

What is final result that is concrete, useful and tangible?

Because the "practical application, result, concrete, useful and tangible" limitations are not claimed in Applicant's claims. Examiner believes that the above listed claims are nonstatutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 7-8, 11-18 and 23-26 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sternberg et al. (U.S. Publication No. 20040133927 A1) in view of Layzell et al. (U.S. Publication No.20040177316 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/676,518

Art Unit: 2165

As to Claim 1, Sternberg teaches receiving a web page [0587] definition having a slicing tree describing ... in the web page [0567] [0260]; and rendering the web page on a display screen according to the slicing tree [0530] [0253].

However, Sternberg does not expressly teach arrangement of a plurality of blocks.

Layzell teaches arrangement of a plurality of blocks (Figures 1 and 2), [0003] and [0033].

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Sternberg with the teachings of Layzell to include arrangement of a plurality of blocks with the motivation to provide for an unlimited user interaction [002] and to provide for a total minimized cost [0003].

Regarding Claim 2, Sternberg teaches ... block property data associated with one or more of the plurality of blocks [0530][0567].

Regarding Claims 3, 7-8 and 11, Sternberg teaches scaling one or more of the plurality of blocks according to a function of display screen size and the block property data
[0374][0621][0265].

Regarding Claims 12-18 and 23-26, these claims are similar in scope to the rejected claims above and are therefore rejected

Art Unit: 2165

as set forth above.

Other Prior Art Made of Record

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will not be supplied with Office actions. Examiners advises the Applicant that the cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. For the use of the Office's PAIR system, Applicants may refer to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane D. Mizrahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be

reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 305-3900 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Diane Mizrahi Primary Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2100

May 18, 2006